BULETINUL	Vol. LIX	50 (4	Conia Talmiaž
Universității Petrol – Gaze din Ploiești	No. 4/2007	59 - 64	Seria Tennica

# The Torsion of Thin Wall I-Profile Section Beams With Uneven Legs

Constantin Manea, Ion Eparu

Oil-Gas University in Ploiești, Bd. București nr. 39, România e-mail:ieparu@upg-ploiesti.ro

#### Abstract

The paper presents a method for calculating movement and tensions that appear in straight, thin-walled, *I-section poles with uneven legs while stressed in torque.* 

The calculus method considers the real situation, when section movement is blocked, leading to both normal and tangent tensions. The results obtain using this method differ from those using the elementary torque stress theory that considers section movement to be free. Therefore, the method presented in this paper should be used for proper sizing of this pole types.

Keywords: torque, momentum and bi-momentum of bending-torsion, stress.

#### Sectorial Caracteristics For I\_Shaped Section With Uneven Legs

The center of gravity O and the center of bending – torsion are on the symmetry axis. The distance between the P pole and the center of gravity O is:

$$PO = \frac{s_3 \cdot t_3 \cdot s_2 + \left(s_2 - \frac{t_1 + t_3}{2}\right) \cdot t_2 \cdot \frac{s_2}{2}}{s_1 \cdot t_1 + \left(s_2 - \frac{t_1 + t_3}{2}\right) + s_3 \cdot t_3}$$
(1)

To determine C the starting point is the arbitrary pole P and the origin radius Py. The diagram

of the sectorial coordinates  $\omega_P = \int_{0}^{s} h_P \cdot ds$ , is illustrated in figure 1, *b*.

For the  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  points, the following values are obtained:

$$\omega_P(D_1) = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot s_2 \cdot s_3; \quad \omega_P(D) = 0 \text{ and } \omega_P(D_2) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot s_2 \cdot s_3$$

The position of C on the Oy axis is determined according to [2],

$$a_{y} = PC = \frac{\int_{(A)} \omega_{P} \cdot z \cdot dA}{I_{y}}$$
(2)

We draw the diagram of z (fig. 1, c) and applying the rule of Vereşceaghin we obtain:

$$\int_{(A)} \omega_P \cdot z \cdot dA = \frac{1}{12} \cdot s_2 \cdot s_3^3 \cdot t_3 \tag{3}$$

We calculate the moment of inertia in respect to the Oy axis:

$$I_{y} = \frac{1}{12} \cdot \left[ s_{1}^{3} \cdot t_{1} + \left( s_{2} - \frac{t_{1} + t_{3}}{2} \right) \cdot t_{2}^{3} + s_{3}^{3} \cdot t_{3} \right]$$
(4)





With the bending torsion pole C we determine the new diagram  $\omega = \omega_C$  (fig. 1, d). The origin radius remains the symmetry axis Oy.

As a result, on the upper leg in  $B_1$  and on the lower leg in  $D_1$ :

$$\omega(B_1) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot a_y \cdot s_1; \quad \omega(D_1) = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot (s_2 - a_y) \cdot s_3$$

The static sectorial moment (5) has the diagram illustrated in figure 1, e.

$$S_{\omega} = \int_{(A_1)} \omega \cdot dA \,, \tag{5}$$

On the heart  $S_{\omega}$  is null. On the upper leg from  $B_1$  to  $B_2$ ,  $S_{\omega}$  has a parabolic variation.

$$S_{\omega \max} = \frac{1}{8} \cdot a_y \cdot s_1^2 \cdot t_1 \tag{6}$$

On the lower leg from  $D_1$  to  $D_2$   $S_{\omega}$  has a parabolic variation.

$$S_{\omega,D} = -\frac{1}{8} \cdot \left(s_2 - a_y\right) \cdot s_3^2 \cdot t_3 \tag{7}$$

The sectorial moment of inertia is calculated by integrating the  $\omega$  diagram (fig. 1,d) with itself:

$$I_{\omega} = \int_{(A)}^{A} \cdot dA = \frac{1}{3} \cdot s_1 \cdot \left(\frac{a_y \cdot s_1}{s}\right)^2 \cdot t_1 + \frac{1}{3} \cdot s_3 \cdot \left[\frac{(s_2 - a_y) \cdot s_3}{2}\right]^2 \cdot t_3$$
(8)

The torsion moment of inertia with free movement:

$$I_{t} = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \left[ s_{1} \cdot t_{1}^{3} + \left( s_{2} - \frac{t_{1} + t_{3}}{2} \right) \cdot t_{2}^{3} + s_{3} \cdot t_{3}^{3} \right]$$
(9)

### Application

Let us consider the *AB* beam (fig. 2, *a*) perfectly encased in *A* and free in *B*, having a length of l = 2m, and a cross-section as illustrated in fig. 2, *b*. The pole is under uniform distributed moments of torsion (fig. 2, *a*) of  $\mathcal{M}_t$  intensity.

The rotation  $\varphi$  of the y-axis section x, around the Cx axis function of the original parameters from the paper [1] has the expression:

$$\varphi = \varphi_0 + \varphi'_0 \frac{shkx}{k} + \frac{B_{w,0}}{G \cdot I_t} \cdot (1 - chkx) + \frac{M_{x,0}}{k \cdot G \cdot I_t} \cdot (kx - shkx) + \overline{\varphi}$$
(10)

Function of  $\varphi(x)$  from the paper [1] the expression is:

- The bending-torsion bimomentum

$$B_{\omega} = -E \cdot I_{\omega} \cdot \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2} = -E \cdot I_{\omega} \cdot \varphi'' \quad ; \tag{11}$$

- The bending-torsion momentum

$$M_{\omega} = -E \cdot I_{\omega} \cdot \frac{d^{3}\varphi}{dx^{3}} = -E \cdot I_{\omega} \cdot \varphi'''; \qquad (12)$$

- The moment of torsion corresponding to the free movement

$$M_t = M_x - M_w = -G \cdot I_t \cdot \frac{d\varphi}{dx} = -G \cdot I_t \cdot \varphi' \quad . \tag{13}$$

In this case, the particular solution, given by [1], is:

$$\overline{\varphi} = -\frac{\mathcal{M}_t}{G \cdot I_t} \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{2} \cdot (kx)^2 + (1 - chkx) \right]. \tag{14}$$

Choosing the origin O to be the encasement in A,  $O \equiv A$ , we know:  $\varphi_0 = 0$  and  $\varphi_0' = 0$ , because the *u* on the *Ox* axis is null.

From the equation of moments in respect to the Ox axis (fig. 2, a) we obtain  $M_{x,A} = \mathcal{M}_t \cdot l$ , so  $M_{x,0} = \mathcal{M}_t \cdot l$ .

With these values the expression (10) becomes:

$$\varphi = \frac{B_{\omega,0}}{G \cdot I_t} \cdot (1 - chkx) + \frac{\mathcal{M}_t \cdot I}{k \cdot G \cdot I_t} (kx - shkx) - \frac{\mathcal{M}_t}{k^2 \cdot G \cdot I_t} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \cdot (kx)^2 + (1 - chkx) \right]$$
(15)

At the free end B(x=l), since  $\sigma_{\omega} = 0$ , the condition  $B_{\omega}(l) = 0$  must be fulfilled, which using (11), becomes:

$$B_{\omega}(l) = -E \cdot I_{\omega} \cdot \varphi''(l) = B_{\omega,0} \cdot chkl + \frac{1}{k} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{t} \cdot l \cdot shkl + \frac{\mathcal{M}_{t}}{k^{2}} (1 - chkl) = 0$$
(16)

From this we obtain the value:

$$B_{\omega,0} = -\frac{\mathcal{M}_t \cdot l}{k} \cdot \Phi(kl) \tag{17}$$

where:

$$\Phi(kl) = \frac{1}{kl \cdot chkl} \cdot (kl \cdot shkl + 1 - chkl)$$
(18)

Introducing (17) in (15), we obtain the function of rotation  $\varphi(\text{fig. } 2, c)$ :

$$\varphi = \frac{\mathcal{M}_t \cdot l}{k \cdot G \cdot I_t} \cdot \left[ -\left(\Phi + \frac{1}{k \cdot l}\right) \cdot \left(1 - chkx\right) + kx - shkx - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{k \cdot x^2}{l} \right].$$
(19)

The derivate of this (fig. 2, d) is

$$\varphi' = \frac{\mathcal{M}_t \cdot l}{G \cdot I_t} \cdot \left[ \left( \Phi + \frac{1}{k \cdot l} \right) \cdot shkx - chkx + \frac{l - x}{l} \right] \quad . \tag{20}$$

With the expression (11) we determine the bimomentum of bending – torsion (fig. 2, e):

$$B_{\omega} = -E \cdot I_{\omega} \cdot \varphi'' = -\frac{\mathcal{M}_{\iota} \cdot l^2}{k \cdot l} \cdot \left[ \left( \Phi + \frac{1}{k \cdot l} \right) \cdot chkx - shkx - \frac{1}{k \cdot l} \right].$$
(21)

Applying the (12) expression we get the bending – torsion momentum (fig. 2, f):

$$M_{\omega} = E \cdot I_{\omega} \cdot \varphi''' = \mathcal{M}_{t} \cdot l \cdot \left[ \left( \Phi + \frac{1}{k \cdot l} \right) \cdot shkx - chkx \right].$$
<sup>(22)</sup>

With (14) we determine the torsion momentum corresponding to the free movement:

$$M_{t} = -G \cdot I_{t} \cdot \varphi' = -\mathcal{M}_{t} \cdot l \cdot \left[ \left( \Phi + \frac{1}{k \cdot l} \right) \cdot shkx - chkx + \frac{l - x}{l} \right].$$
(23)

as illustrated in fig 2, g.

The values from the diagrams are calculated for the section present in fig. 2, b, which has:

$$\int_{(A)} \omega_{P} \cdot z \cdot dA = \frac{1}{12} \cdot s_{3}^{3} \cdot s_{2} \cdot t_{3} = 43, 2 \cdot 10^{7} \, mm^{5}; \ I_{y} = 42,653 \cdot 10^{6} \, mm^{4};$$

$$a_{y} = \frac{\int_{(A)} \omega_{P} \cdot z \cdot dA}{I_{y}} = 101,3mm; \ PO = 141mm; \ I_{t} = 14,12 \cdot 10^{4} \, mm^{4}.$$

$$\sigma_{\omega} = \frac{B_{\omega}}{I_{\omega}} \cdot \omega \; ; \; \tau_{\omega} = \frac{M_{\omega} \cdot S_{\omega}}{t \cdot I_{\omega}} \cdot \omega \; ; \; \tau_{t} = \frac{M_{t}}{I_{t}} \cdot t \tag{24}$$

The diagram of normal stresses  $\sigma_{\omega}$ , fig 2, *h*.

From the diagram  $\omega = \omega_c$  (fig. 1, d), we get:



$$\omega(D_2) = -\omega(D_1) = 11,922 \cdot 10^3 \, mm^2; \quad \omega(B_1) = -\omega(B_2) = 7,5975 \cdot 10^3 \, mm^2.$$

Fig. 2.

In the encasing section A(x=0),  $B_{\omega,A} = -0.3377 \cdot \mathcal{M}_t l^2$ :

$$\sigma_{\omega}(D_1) = -\sigma_{\omega}(D_2) = 0.18788 \frac{\mathcal{M}_t}{mm^2} ; \ \sigma_{\omega}(B_2) = -\sigma_{\omega}(B_1) = 0.11973 \frac{\mathcal{M}_t}{mm^2}$$

The diagram of tangential stresses  $\tau_{\omega}$ , fig 2, *i* .From the  $S_{\omega}$  diagram (fig. 1, *e*) we get:  $S_{\omega}(D) = 357,66 \cdot 10^4 mm^4$ ;  $S_{\omega}(P) = 284,906 \cdot 10^4 mm^4$ . In the encasing section A(x=0), where  $M_{\omega,A} = -\mathcal{M}_t \cdot l$  (fig 2, f), we get:

$$\tau_{\omega}(D) = 8.345 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{t}}{mm^{2}} ; \tau_{\omega}(P) = 6.648 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{t}}{mm^{2}}$$

From the resistance condition, after  $T_{\tau}$ , in the point  $D_1$  from the A(x=0) section,

$$\sigma_{ech} = \sigma_{\omega}(D_1) = 0.18788 \frac{\mathcal{M}_t}{mm^2} = \sigma_a = 150 \frac{N}{mm^2}, \text{ we get: } \mathcal{M}_t = 798.4 \frac{N \cdot mm}{mm}$$

In all other points of the pole the resistance condition is met. So, from fig. 2, *i*, in the *D* point of the A(x=0) section,

$$\tau_{\omega}(D) = 8,345 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot 794, 4 = 6,7 \frac{N}{mm^2}; \sigma_{\omega}(D) = 0; \tau_t = 0,$$

the resistance condition, after  $T_{\tau}$ ,

$$\sigma_{ech} = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} = 2 \cdot \tau_{max} = 13.4 \frac{N}{mm^2} < \sigma_a = 150 \frac{N}{mm^2}$$
, is met.

#### Conclusions

The paper presents a method to calculate stresses and displacements that appear in thin-walled Ishaped poles with uneven legs. The calculus method considers transversal section displacement to be impaired, real cases generating both normal and tangential stress. For thin sections, this stresses become very high thus breaking the poles. So a resistance check is needed, using the above-presented method.

## References

- 1. Posea, N., Anghel, Al., Manea, C., Hotea, Gh., *Rezistența* materialelor. Editura Științifică și Enciclopedică, București, 1986.
- 2. Posea, N., *Rezistența materialelor*. Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1979.
- 3. Manea, C., Eparu, I., *The torsion of poles with thin walls having an I profile section*. Volumul celei de a II-a ediții a conferinței internaționale I.C.E.M., Petroșani, 24-26 mai 2007.
- 4. Manea, C., Eparu, I., *The torsion of thin wall poles with annular section, cut according to a generating line.* Volumul celei de a II-a ediții a conferinței internaționale I.C.E.M., Petroșani, 24-26 mai 2007.

# Torsiunea barelor cu pereti subțiri, profil I cu aripi neegale

#### Rezumat

Lucrarea prezintă o metodă pentru calculul tensiunilor și deplasărilor ce apar în barele drepte cu pereți subțiri având secțiuni în formă de I, cu tălpile neegale, solicitate la torsiune.