# Large Deformations for Thin Plane Disks Bending under Uniform Distributed Loads, Considering the Membrane Stresses
# Part I – General Theoretical Considerations on Large Deformations

Gheorghe N. Radu, Ioana Sonia Comănescu

Universitatea Transilvania din Braşov, Str. Eroilor 29, 500036, Braşov
e-mail: rngh@unitbv.ro; ioanacom@unitbv.ro

## Abstract

*The present paper deals with the state of stress and deformation for thin circular plates subjected to symmetrical axial loading. The loading is a bending owing to the uniform distributed loads which act perpendicular to the mean surface of the plate, simultaneous with a membrane load (loads acting in the mean plane of the plate). This type of problem is solved by help of equations which result from the equilibrium of a plate's element and from the boundary and continuity conditions of the mean surface of the plate. The following two cases have been considered:*
*- the membrane stresses are small comparatively to the bending stresses; in this case the calculus is precise enough if we take into account only the mean plane extensions which will be superposed over the effects given by the transversal bending stress q;*
*- the membrane stresses are considerable and cannot be neglected; in this case, second order calculus is required.*

**Keywords:** *deformation, plate, stress, bending, membrane stress*

## Introduction

The present paper deals with the state of stress and deformation at thin circular plates under symmetrical axial loading. The loading is a bending owing to the uniform distributed loads, which act perpendicular to the mean surface of the plate, simultaneous with a membrane load (loads which are acting in the mean plane of the plate). This type of problem can be described by equations which result from the equilibrium of an attached plate element and from boundary conditions. In order to solve these problems, different methods have been suggested and used: Love's displacement function, the use of the working lengthening tube of Popkowitsch, etc. Uses of the finite element method and of the finite difference method have shown a great usefulness, leading to very good results. The behaviour of the structures is described by help of a stiffness matrix, in the case of the displacements method, by a suppleness matrix, in case of the forces method, or by a stiffness matrix, in the case of the joint method. The above mentioned matrix are established using the finite element method, which is a method of

structures division and which requires the similitude of the model's behaviour to the real structure. The displacements method is adequate for the symmetric structures and symmetric loaded structures. The displacements are defined in the interior of an element by polynomials containing a number of parameters equal to the number of the unknown displacements number of the element nodes. One gets the basis equations of this method by help of the energetic method, which is based on the principle of the constant value of the elastic potential. In calculus one may consider that the material remains in the limits of Hooke's law validity, when external loads are acting upon it; a linear condition between stresses and deformations is required. At symmetrical axial state of stress correspond symmetrical axial states of deformation and the calculus can be made regarding the mean plane. Under these circumstances, the general 3-D problem can be reduced to a 2-D problem, where the stiffness is expressed by help of a mean section displacements. One divides the continuous structure into a system of symmetrical axial elements, whose unit element is defined as a plane finite element which rotates around the symmetry axis of the structure.

## Great Displacements. Equations of Equilibrium

On basis of the notations from Figure 1, one calculates the length after deformation of an element whose initial length is *dx*:

$$\sqrt{\left(dx+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}dx\right)^2+\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}dx\right)^2}\cong dx\left[1+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^2\right] \tag{1}$$

where the approximation of the square root does not influence the calculus or the final results accuracy. One mention the fact that the mean plane deformations allow to neglect the term $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2$; equation (1) shows that the element's length *dx* is changing with the quantity:

$$\varepsilon_x=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^2;\qquad \varepsilon_y=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)^2. \tag{2}$$



**Fig. 1.** Displacements          **Fig. 2.** Displacements

In order to get the angular strain corresponding to the deformation *w*, normal to the mean plane, one study the case of the perpendicular elements of length *dx* and *dy* ($\angle AOB=\pi/2$), Figure 2. The wanted angular strain is given by the subtraction of the final value of the angle $\angle AOB$ (after deformation), $\angle A_1OB_1$ from the initial angle $\angle AOB$, plus the share of angular deformation brought by the linear displacements u and v (displacements in the mean plane of the plate). One writes the cosine of the angle $\angle A_1OB_1$ as a dot product, getting:

$$\cos \angle A_1OB_1 = \frac{\dfrac{\partial w}{\partial x}dx \cdot \dfrac{\partial w}{\partial y}dy}{dx \cdot dy}, \quad \text{or} \quad \sin \angle A_1OB_1 = \cos(90 - A_1OB) = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}.$$

One takes into account the share of the linear displacements *u* and *v*; one finally get the wanted angular strain:

$$\gamma_{xy} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \tag{3}$$

From the specific literature (elastic theory), we have the expression of the mean surface curvatures:

$$\chi_x = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}; \qquad \chi_y = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2}; \qquad \chi_{xy} = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y}. \tag{4}$$

If one works in plane coordinates, Figure 3, the equations of the strains and curvatures are easier. Equations (2) and (4) become:

$$\varepsilon_r = \frac{du}{dr} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{dw}{dr}\right)^2; \quad \varepsilon_\theta = \frac{(r+u)d\theta - rd\theta}{rd\theta} = \frac{u}{r} \tag{5}$$

$$\chi_r = -\frac{d^2 w}{dr^2}; \qquad \chi_\theta = -\frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{dw}{dr} \tag{6}$$



**Fig. 3.** Strains and curvature in plane coordinate

The load is performed in the field of linear elastic plastic deformations, so that between stresses and deformations following equations are valid (Hooke's law):

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{1}{E}\left[\sigma_x - \nu\sigma_y\right]; \ \varepsilon_y = \frac{1}{E}\left[\sigma_y - \nu\sigma_x\right]; \ \gamma_{xy} = \frac{2(1+\nu)}{E}\tau_{xy} \tag{7}$$

One analyses equations (2) and (3). From these equations we get the deformations from the mean plane, these deformations being membrane deformations. One calculates the second derivative of the equations (2) and (3) with respect to *y* and to *x* and one neglects the high order infinitesimal; one derives equation (4) with respect to *x* and then with respect to *y*. We get the following expressions:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_x}{\partial y^2} = \dfrac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial y^2} + \left(\dfrac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^2; \quad \dfrac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_y}{\partial x^2} = \dfrac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^2 \partial y}; \quad \dfrac{\partial^2 \gamma_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} = \dfrac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial y^2} + \dfrac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^2 \partial y} + \dfrac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}\dfrac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2}. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

One adds the first two equations (8) and one subtract the third one. The compatibility equation (9) yields:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_x}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_y}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \gamma_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} \tag{9}$$

Substituting equation (7) in relation (9) it results a relation between stresses and deformations corresponding to the membrane state of stress:

$$E\left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2}\right] = \frac{\partial \sigma_x}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial x^2} - \nu\left(\frac{\partial^2 \sigma_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_y}{\partial y^2}\right) - 2(1+\nu)\frac{\partial^2 \tau_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} \tag{10}$$

## General Equations at Bending with Constant Q and Loading in the Mean Plane of the Plate

Further on we want to establish a calculus relation between stresses and deformations for the loading of the circular plate, in Figure 4. In this case, besides the bending due to the constant load $q$, the plate is subjected to tension because of the loads $N_o$ (membrane load).

One specifies the existence of the following two calculus methods [1]:

a) the membrane stresses are small in comparison to those due to bending; in this case the calculus is precise enough if we consider only the extensions of the mean plane which are superposed on the effects caused by the transversal load $q$;

b) the membrane stresses due to the loads $p$ are big and cannot be neglected. That is the reason why the calculus must be continued.



**Fig. 4.a**                    **Fig. 4.b**

In order to take into account both the membrane stresses and the state stress caused by the bending loads, normal to the mean plane, one analyses the plate's element of dimensions $dx \cdot dy$, in deformed state of the mean plane, Figure 5.

The plate element in Figure 5 is in equilibrium under the action of the shown state of stresses and deformations. On basis of the projections upon axes $x$ and $y$, taking into account the angles in the deformed state, we get the following two equilibrium equations:

$$\left(\sigma_x + \frac{\partial \sigma_x}{\partial x}dx\right)\cdot\cos\left(\alpha_x + \frac{\partial \alpha_x}{\partial x}dx\right) - \sigma_x\cdot\cos\alpha_x + \left(\tau_{xy} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y}dy\right)\cdot\cos\left(\alpha_x + \frac{\partial \alpha_x}{\partial y}dy\right) - \tau_{xy}\cdot\cos\alpha_x = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$\left(\sigma_y + \frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial y}dy\right)\cdot\cos\left(\alpha_y + \frac{\partial \alpha_y}{\partial y}dy\right) - \sigma_y\cdot\cos\alpha_y + \left(\tau_{xy} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial x}dx\right)\cdot\cos\left(\alpha_y + \frac{\partial \alpha_y}{\partial x}dx\right) - \tau_{xy}\cdot\cos\alpha_y = 0 \quad (12)$$

**Fig. 5.** Equilibrium of the plate element

Equations (11) and (12) take simple forms, easy to use after the approximations below:

$$\left\{ \cos \alpha_x \cong \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^2} \cong 1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^2; \quad \cos\left(\alpha_x + \frac{\partial \alpha_x}{\partial x}dx\right) \cong 1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^2 - \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y} \right. \quad (13)$$

The equations of equilibrium for a plane plate element are:

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial \sigma_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} = R_x; \quad \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial y} = R_y \right. \quad (14)$$

One derives them with respect to *x* and to *y*; by adding the yielded relations, we get:

$$2\frac{\partial^2 \tau_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} = -\frac{\partial^2 \sigma_x}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial R_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial R_y}{\partial y} \quad (15)$$

One replaces equation (15) in Equation (10), which was obtained from the relation of compatibility (9). One gets finally:

$$E\left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2}\right] = \Delta(\sigma_x + \sigma_y) - (1 - \nu)\left(\frac{\partial R_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial R_y}{\partial y}\right) \quad (16)$$

In Equation (17) one takes into account the relations (11) and (12), the projections upon axis *z*, the curvatures given by relations (4) as well as the independent relations (14) and one yields the equation below [1]:

$$D\Delta^2 w = q(x, y) + h\left(\sigma_x \gamma_x + 2\tau_{xy}\gamma_{xy} + \sigma_y \gamma_y\right) - R_x \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} - R_y \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \quad (17)$$

Equations (16) and (17) show the state of stress and deformation of the plate, under the following circumstances: bending under transversal loading *q*, taking into account both the membrane stresses and the mass forces (calculated on surface unit of the plate's mean plane).

## References

1. T i m o s h e n k o, S.P, W o i n o w s k y - K r i e g e r, S. – *Teoria plăcilor plane şi curbe,* Editura Tehnică, Bucureşti, 1968.
2. R a d u, G h . N ., C u r t u, I. – Elastic Stability of the Thin Disks due to the Temperature and Rotation Stress, *14th Symposium DANUBIA –ADRIA*, Porec, Croatia, 1997.

3.   S h e p l a k ,  M . – *Large Deflections of Clamped Circular Plates Under Initial Tension and Transitions to Membrane Behavior,* ASME, 1998.
4.   R a d u ,  G h .  N . – *Contribuţii la studiul discurilor subţiri în mişcare de rotaţie şi în regim termic axial simetric* – Teză de doctorat, Braşov, 1992.

# Deformaţii mari la plăci circulare plane subţiri solicitate la încovoiere de sarcini uniform distribuite şi de sarcini de membrană.  Partea I – Consideraţii teoretice generale asupra deformaţiilor mari

## Rezumat

*Lucrarea de faţă se ocupă de starea de tensiune şi de deformaţie la plăci circulare subţiri aflate într-o stare de solicitare axial-simetrică. Solicitarea este de încovoiere datorata sarcinilor uniform distribuite care acţionează perpendicular pe suprafaţa mediana a plăcii, in condiţiile existentei si a unei încărcări de membrana. Acest tip de problema poate fi descrisă de patru ecuaţii diferenţiale. Două dintre acestea rezultă din condiţiile de echilibru ale unui element de placă, celelalte două rezultă din realizarea "condiţiilor de margine". Folosirea metodei elementelor finite a arătat o mare utilitate, cu obţinerea de rezultate foarte bune. Comportarea structurilor este descrisă de o matrice de rigiditate în cazul metodei deplasărilor, de o matrice de flexibilitate în cazul metodei forţelor sau de o matrice de rigiditate în cazul metodei mixte. Metoda deplasărilor este foarte indicată pentru structuri simetrice şi simetric încărcate. Deplasările sunt definite în interiorul unui element prin polinoame care conţin un număr de parametri egal cu numărul deplasărilor necunoscute ale nodurilor elementului. Relaţiile de bază ale acestui procedeu se deduc din consideraţii energetice şi se bazează pe principiul valorii staţionare a potenţialului elastic.*

*În calcule se consideră că răspunsul materialului la acţiunea sarcinilor exterioare rămâne în limitele valabilităţii legii lui Hooke; se impune ,,o condiţie'' liniară între tensiuni şi deformaţii. La stări de tensiune axial-simetrice corespund stări de deformaţie axial-simetrice şi calculele se pot face cu referire la planul median. În aceste condiţii, problema tridimensională generală se poate reduce la o problemă bidimensională, la care rigiditatea se poate exprima prin deplasările unei secţiuni mediane. Structura continuă se împarte într-un sistem de elemente axial-simetrice, la care elementul unitar este definit ca un element finit plan ce se roteşte în jurul axei de simetrie a structurii.*