

**Reviewer-Recommendation-Form**

Title of the paper: .....

Authors:

Reviewer (name & scientific title): .....

<b>Comments to the Editor:</b>	Yes	No
The paper is accompanied by a graphical abstract?		
Is the title informative and a reflection of the content?		
Is the abstract concise and informative?		
In the end of the Introduction section of the paper are included: the scope, the gap covered by the study, and the novelty of the study proposed for publication?		
Are the facts and interpretations appropriately separated in the text?		
Are the organisation and length of the paper appropriately?		
Are all the tables, figures and plates necessary?		
Are the diagrams and illustrations of good quality?		
Is sufficient credit given to previous work?		
Are all the references accurate? Is the number of bibliographic references at least 25?		
Does the paper require improvement of written language?		
Can you suggest any improvement to this work?		
Anonymity: Do you wish to be identified to the authors?		
<b>What is your final recommendation (tick one)?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Accept with minor revisions <input type="checkbox"/> Paper requires major revisions <input type="checkbox"/> Reject, but encourage resubmission of new manuscript <input type="checkbox"/> Reject with no encouragement to resubmit		
<b>Only for the Reviewer:</b> Why do you think, this manuscript is appropriate for Romanian Journal of Petroleum & Gas Technology? Please explain below: .....		
<b>Remarks:</b> .....		

Date,

Reviewer,